



MEDIA RELEASE May 28, 2018

Provincial Chambers Back Kelowna Anti-Speculation Tax Policy Multiple Chambers Sign on in Advance Unanimous Vote to Adopt

Kelowna: The policy sessions at the BC Chamber annual policy and AGM conference are always lively with differing views. But, on Friday in Kamloops at the 2018 Policy Conference, the Kelowna Chamber stood up to present its "Anti-Canadian Tariff – Pressing the Pause Button on BC's Proposed Speculation Tax," and the issue galvanized the provincial business leaders with unanimous support.

Even before the session began, over 20 chambers from around the province had signed on to support the Kelowna policy, as had the BC Chamber's Policy Review Committee. After discussion on the floor, the policy passed unanimously, garnering the support of the over 60 attending chambers at the session.

Adoption by the BC Chamber means that the new Anti-Speculation tax policy will now be a priority of the provincial body in discussions with the Finance Minister. The Finance Minister addressed all delegates at the conference just after the policy was adopted.

Carmen Sparg, President of the Kelowna Chamber, said at the conference "We're more than pleased at the response of our chamber colleagues, in supporting this policy – taking a strong message to the provincial government to study, clarify, and consult prior to legislating." The Kelowna Chamber calls for an outright cancellation of the mis-named Speculation tax, or at the very least a pause and economic impact study by the provincial government.

The introduction of this proposed tax has damaged BC's brand in Alberta, and is causing concern to many of the Kelowna chamber members who rely on their neighbours to the east to drive the local tourism economy. Sparg concluded, "Recent halts in affordable rental developments prove this 'speculation tax' is having a negative effect – stopping projects that could have alleviated some housing demand pressures."

Among the recommendations made by the Kelowna Chamber: "establish clear parameters and key performance indicators that determine whether the tax as put in place is temporary; does it actually create affordable housing; and will it be removed as/if local indicators (not yet determined) improve."

For more information:
Carmen Sparg, President
Kelowna Chamber of Commerce
carmensparg@live.com
250-859-0547

Dan Rogers, Executive Director, Kelowna Chamber of Commerce dan@kelownachamber.org 250-469-7356

BACKGROUNDER

Text of the Resolution as submitted to the BC Chamber Policy Resolution Session May 25, 2018

ANTI-CANADIAN TARIFF – PRESSING THE PAUSE BUTTON ON BC'S PROPOSED SPECULATION TAX

ISSUE

In its 2018 Budget Presentation the BC Government introduced a proposed new tax entitled "A speculation tax" as one measure among many aimed at addressing the housing crisis in BC. While previous policy efforts in this area have been targeted at the Greater Vancouver area, this Speculation Tax is now suggested to be applied to a number of communities and regions outside the lower mainland.

Business and community leaders across the Central Okanagan, Nanaimo Regional District and elsewhere have raised concerns over the potential unintended consequences of imposing such a tax on those who do not currently pay income tax in the Province of BC but do contribute greater to local economies through investment and the procurement of goods and services.

These leaders are asking the government to step back from implementing the "Speculation Tax". A full economic impact study is required, and the tax should be scrapped as currently tabled by the Province.

BACKGROUND

Since the proposed speculation tax was first announced, chambers, trade associations, and economic development officials have been inundated with calls, letters and emails from business leaders in the Okanagan and elsewhere in British Columbia who are extremely concerned about the direct and indirect economic impact of this proposed tax.

They are equally concerned about the total lack of consultation on this proposed tax. Developers have customers who are canceling contracts and/or looking to re-evaluate intended investments. The outcome is less economic activity, less employment, and ironically, less supply for the housing market. The development community cannot see how the proposed speculation tax will help to increase affordable housing in the Okanagan and in fact, they believe it may result in just the opposite.

Further, seniors in Alberta and elsewhere who were in the midst of transitioning to the Island and the Okanagan as part of their retirement plans now fear they will face unexpected and substantial taxes. This is "taxation without representation" which makes this a truly anti-Canadian tariff. The uncertainty around this proposed tax is already forcing many to rethink their retirement plans. Surely taking money out of the hands of seniors isn't the intent of the proposed tax?

Some of these individuals have owned homes locally for fifteen years and longer, paying property taxes to the local government as well as contributing to the local economy through the goods and services they purchase while here. They do not understand why they are the target for this punitive tax.

The mere mention of this proposed tax has already damaged BC's brand in Alberta and that is of concern to many of our members who rely on our neighbours to the east to drive our tourism economy.

A recent report prepared by the City of West Kelowna¹ noted a number of reasons why that community should not be included among those targeted with this tax. Among other things the report noted that the tax will likely not produce the results it is intended to do, making housing more affordable. The report also notes:

- 1. Questions as to why West Kelowna is included while other similar communities are not.
- 2. The creation of an unfair and competitive advantage for neighbouring communities not subject to this tax.
- 3. The risks to affordable and rental housing in West Kelowna.
- 4. The clear threat to the City of West Kelowna's economic landscape and its ability to fund community infrastructure.

The same report also notes that the realities of the housing market in the Metro Vancouver and Fraser Valley are significantly different than that of the West Kelowna/Kelowna area.²

Further, based on statistics from the Okanagan Mainland Real Estate Board there is in fact a stronger argument that those relocated from the lower mainland are having a far greater impact on rising house prices than anyone from Alberta or elsewhere in Canada.³

_

¹ Speculation Tax Impacts Report, City of West Kelowna March 2018

² According to BC Statistics between 2007 and 2017 housing sale prices in the Okanagan Mainline Region rose from \$387,523 to \$497,600. The net increase in housing price is 28.4%, compared to the Fraser Valley, which saw a 65.6% jump, from \$423,761 to \$701,842, and Greater Vancouver, where prices soared 80.7%, from \$570,795 to \$1,031,546. The report further notes that increases in property values in the Okanagan are also distorted by the high value of waterfront properties which obviously there is a finite number of. If you exclude those properties, the typical house price in both West Kelowna and the City of Kelowna is in fact quite different.

The report from the City of West Kelowna along with similar reports from industry organizations have also made the point that those that will be subject to this punitive tax are unfairly being characterized as speculators.

Given the community's attraction as a vacation destination, many of the City of West Kelowna's non-resident property owners purchased these properties many years or even several generations ago. Such owners are not speculators and contribute to the economy faithfully each year as they return on their vacations. Vacation home owners also pay annual property taxes in West Kelowna.⁴

Because of the very little amount of property held by foreigners (1.8% of market) and a similar low number of out of province investors, the likelihood of either tax actually making housing for the average family more affordable is very remote. It will though, remove tax dollars from the communities targeted by this tax and provide the province additional funds flowing into General Revenue. It is suggested these funds will help the province achieve its promised investment in non-market housing but which that is a laudable goal, it won't likely make housing more affordable for average working families.

It is also worth noting that the policy proposal (B.C. Housing Affordability Fund)⁵ developed by the group of academics in Vancouver for which the province has based this tax initiative, made special note that it should not be implemented in any community where the local government was not in favour of the tax.

The authors further suggested all funds raised in a specific community should be reinvested in that community. This of course could only be achieved if the revenue from the tax flowed directly back to the local government, something that is contrary to the province's current direction.

Another argument raised by the cities being targeted for this tax is the fact that it is creating an uneven playing field where neighbouring communities benefit by not having to deal with the tax. Investors looking to invest in a specific region will then chose the neighbouring community as a cost saving measure. This ironically will result in an increase in urban sprawl as smaller communities adjacent of West Kelowna, Kelowna and Nanaimo will all be more attractive for investment. Lake Country, Coldstream, Summerland, Peachland and Penticton in the Okanagan as an example, have all seen housing prices and growth in population rise at a rate above the province

³ When including waterfront homes, the average property value is \$634,422. If multi-million dollar properties, many that are non-owner occupied and subject to the Speculation Tax, are excluded, the typical home value in the City of West Kelowna then averages out to only \$515,711

⁴ Speculation Tax Impacts Report (Page 10), City of West Kelowna, March 2018

⁵ http://www.housingaffordability.org/

average. The same conditions apply on Vancouver Island where adjacent communities are set to benefit from their neighbour being taxed.

Other important observations that have been made by local government officials and developers include:

- If out of province home owners who currently rent their property are subject to this tax they may divest themselves of the property thus reducing the amount of rental units available in that community.
- The implementation of this tax fails to recognize that in a free market environment, the high demand and low supply has prompted many developers to invest in both Kelowna and West Kelowna. This is private investment that is driving a significant amount of housing stock that will increase supply and reduce demand in the next few years. All indications are the private sector will respond if the government refrains from injecting itself into the market.
- If there is a lack of growth because of a dis-incentive speculation tax, some communities that rely on future growth projections to help provide much needed capital for infrastructure could face a crisis. It would leave current taxpayers (British Columbians) in the communities targeted for this speculation tax having to pay a larger portion of needed capital thus seeing a significant jump in their property taxes. If property taxes have to be increased because of a lack of growth, not only will existing home owners be impacted, businesses will also see their property taxes increase thus causing even more harm to the local economy.

Finally, it is also worth responding to a CTV News Insights West survey⁶ which is being touted as a good gauge on the sentiment of the B.C. public related to the Speculation Tax. This question was asked without any context or detail provided in advance as to the significant impact on the economy of penalizing out of province investors. The question was misleading as it asked people what they thought of a tax that was being imposed on "someone else". The responses are not unexpected but it did little to facilitate well-informed discussions around important fiscal policy.

The report from the City of West Kelowna makes the observation that the question does not accurately reflect the true nature of the proposed tax. Rather than specifying all people, including BC residents who will be negatively impacted by the tax, the question focusses opinion around those who do not pay tax in BC, which skews the results. As shown in this document, the majority of non-resident homeowners in West Kelowna ARE BC TAXPAYERS. Insights West staff indicated that the survey was scientifically accurate and polled mostly lower mainland residents. With no uniform polling conducted across the province, and specifically no proper sampling of the Okanagan, Insights West admitted that the numbers could not be used for the Okanagan area. In

⁶ https://insightswest.com/news/british-columbians-welcome-budget-proposals-on-real-estate/

addition, the question does not mention that the tax is charged annually; and the question seems to indicate that the tax is applied to non-residents rather than speculators.⁷

Given the modest number of property holders moving from Alberta, Saskatchewan and Ontario compared to a much larger number from the lower mainland, we would suggest it is those moving from elsewhere in BC that are having far more influence on rising house prices than foreign buyers or those who hail from another part of Canada.

These homeowners from outside BC also contribute a significant amount to the local economies of Nanaimo, Kelowna and West Kelowna. Many of these homeowners spend an average of four to six months in the Okanagan, with monthly trips, and extended summer holidays – that amounts to a lot of groceries, home improvements, recreational spends, fuel purchases, and entertainment. Business owners are concerned about losing this lucrative influx of cash to their businesses.

If the government is truly trying to go after speculators who are negatively influencing the housing market, we suggest an excise tax at the time when the property is sold – if it is "flipped" within a specific time period – as that approach would be more direct and transparent.

THE CHAMBER RECOMMENDS THE BC GOVERNMENT:

- 1. Scrap the tax altogether, as ill-conceived, punitive, damaging to business and devised without an economic impact study.
- 2. Clarify through detailed economic data how housing will be made more accessible and affordable as a result of this type of taxation policy.
- 3. Postpones implementation of the proposed speculation tax in the cities of Nanaimo, Kelowna and West Kelowna until at least 2019, to allow for the completion of Economic Impact Studies to determine if the new tax will actually achieve its desired outcome of making housing more affordable.
- 4. Work with the UBCM (Union of BC Municipalities), local government officials, and economic development agencies to examine the situation in the cities of Nanaimo, Kelowna and West Kelowna to better understand what is impacting housing attainability and more fully explore tax incentives or public policy changes to encourage development of non-market and rental housing.

_

⁷ Speculation Tax Impacts Report (Page 20), City of West Kelowna, March 2018

- 5. Monitor supply-demand through 2018 to see if the significant amount of housing that is poised to come on the market in the cities targeted for this punitive tax, improves the availability of attainable housing and rental stock.
- 6. Establish clear parameters and key performance indicators that will be used as a tool to determine whether implementation of a speculation tax will take place so as to ensure it is a temporary measure that will be removed if local indicators/conditions improve.

Passed unanimously May 25, 2018 BC Chamber AGM in Kamloops